

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0110011 DATE: <u>03/21/2007</u>	ARRIVE: DEPART:			
FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS CORP(S.	.FT.LAUDERDALE)			
FACILITY LOCATION: 29 SW 33RD ST				
FORT LAUDERDALE	E 33315			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JEFFREY PORTER	<b>PHONE:</b> (561)820-8415			
CONTACT NAME: Juan Otano	<b>PHONE:</b> (964)712-65			
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITI	<b>LEMENT PERIOD:</b> 11/26/2004 / 11/26/2009 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (c	check 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRE	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
Stack Emissions  Were visible emissions tests conducted during this	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref : Chanter			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
	operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then			
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)  a) Was the batching operation in operation durin	)			
b) During the visible emissions test, was the bate	ching rate representative of the normal batching rate and			
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) ope	eration are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate			
	ions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector entative of the normal batching rate and duration? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No			
Ç .	<u> </u>			
	li di			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	he ⊠Yes □ No		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	Yes □ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?────────────────────────────────────			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?   Yes □ No			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )	e 🗌		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>(check  ppropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  ponly one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	Yes		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
T			
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take	reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?   Yes  No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \(\Sigma Yes \square No\)			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind			
particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?  \overline{\text{N}} Yes \overline{\text{N}} No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Ru	le 62-210 300(4)(d)4 F A C		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	ic 02-210.300(4)(u)4., 1 .11.C.		
110 Item of Produced Process Equipment			
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes ⊠ No	
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most			
recent notification form?			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?		□Yes □ No	
Country on Distance	02/21/2007		
Courtney Pitters	03/21/2007		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_	
	03/21/2008		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: No environmental violations were observed during CY 2007 compliance inspection.			